

**Alabama's 1st District**

**Representative: Jo Bonner**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	% with Severe Burden	Share of Severely Burdened	Deficit/Surplus of Rental Units Affordable & Available to ELI Households	ELI	Extremely Low Income (income at or below 30% of area median)
ELI Households	17,932	8,612	48%	77%		VLI	Very Low Income (income between 31% and 50% of area median)
VLI Households	10,773	2,012	19%	18%		LI	Low Income (income between 51% and 80% of area median)
Total Renter Households	64,446	11,209	17%	100%		Not Low Income	Income greater than 80% of area median
						Severely Burdened	Household spending >50% of income on housing costs

Source: Special tabulation of Census 2000 (CHAS data)

**Rents and Incomes in Constituent Areas**

Fair Market Rent Area	Renter Hhlds (2000)	Two-BR Fair Market Rent	FMR Growth (2000-09)	Two-BR Housing Wage	Area Med. Income	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	Minimum Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage	Renter Wage	Hours at Renter Wage
Mobile MSA	46,777	\$671	35.8%	\$12.90	\$49,500	\$14,850	\$371	\$6.55	79	\$10.59	49
Baldwin County	11,300	\$733	35.7%	\$14.10	\$58,200	\$17,460	\$437	\$6.55	86	\$9.79	58
Escambia County	3,279	\$514	42.0%	\$9.88	\$46,200	\$13,860	\$347	\$6.55	60	\$9.30	43
Clarke County	1,994	\$514	36.0%	\$9.88	\$43,600	\$13,080	\$327	\$6.55	60	\$9.95	40
Monroe County	1,835	\$514	46.0%	\$9.88	\$44,100	\$13,230	\$331	\$6.55	60	\$9.01	44
Washington County	793	\$514	46.4%	\$9.88	\$48,400	\$14,520	\$363	\$6.55	60	\$14.15	28

Source: Out of Reach 2009. This congressional district includes at least a small portion of the FMR areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography.

**State-Level Renter Statistics**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	Share of Severely Burdened	Median Housing Cost to Income Ratio	<b>There is a Real Deficit of Affordable and Available Rental Units</b>			
ELI Households	146,890	100,111	76%	71	Households by Income	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable Rental Units	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable and Available Rental Units	Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 Households
VLI Households	102,704	24,071	18%	37	0-30% of Area Median	-4,913	-72,117	51
LI Households	101,051	6,085	5%	26	0-50% of Area Median	69,710	-41,268	83
Not Low Income	179,944	1,192	1%	16				
Total	530,589	131,459	100%	28				

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2007 American Community Survey PUMS housing file.



**Alabama's 2nd District**

**Representative: Bobby Bright**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	% with Severe Burden	Share of Severely Burdened	Deficit/Surplus of Rental Units Affordable & Available to ELI Households	ELI	Extremely Low Income (income at or below 30% of area median)	
ELI Households	18,464	8,405	46%	83%		VLI	Very Low Income (income between 31% and 50% of area median)	
VLI Households	12,018	1,432	12%	14%		LI	Low Income (income between 51% and 80% of area median)	
Total Renter Households	69,533	10,143	15%	100%			<b>Not Low Income</b>	Income greater than 80% of area median
							<b>Severely Burdened</b>	Household spending >50% of income on housing costs

Source: Special tabulation of Census 2000 (CHAS data)

**Rents and Incomes in Constituent Areas**

Fair Market Rent Area	Renter Hhlds (2000)	Two-BR Fair Market Rent	FMR Growth (2000-09)	Two-BR Housing Wage	Area Med. Income	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	Minimum Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage	Renter Wage	Hours at Renter Wage
Montgomery MSA	39,053	\$706	35.8%	\$13.58	\$57,700	\$17,310	\$433	\$6.55	83	\$10.68	51
Dothan HMFA	12,972	\$531	35.8%	\$10.21	\$51,100	\$15,330	\$383	\$6.55	62	\$9.39	43
Dale County	6,751	\$514	38.5%	\$9.88	\$48,300	\$14,490	\$362	\$6.55	60	\$13.70	29
Coffee County	4,985	\$530	35.9%	\$10.19	\$51,500	\$15,450	\$386	\$6.55	62	\$8.06	51
Pike County	3,914	\$514	45.6%	\$9.88	\$42,800	\$12,840	\$321	\$6.55	60	\$7.60	52
Covington County	3,485	\$514	42.4%	\$9.88	\$42,600	\$12,780	\$320	\$6.55	60	\$8.28	48
Barbour County	2,794	\$518	36.0%	\$9.96	\$40,500	\$12,150	\$304	\$6.55	61	\$7.05	57
Butler County	2,000	\$528	36.1%	\$10.15	\$40,000	\$12,000	\$300	\$6.55	62	\$6.88	59

Source: Out of Reach 2009. This congressional district includes at least a small portion of the FMR areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography.

**State-Level Renter Statistics**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	Share of Severely Burdened	Median Housing Cost to Income Ratio	<b>There is a Real Deficit of Affordable and Available Rental Units</b>			
ELI Households	146,890	100,111	76%	71	Households by Income	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable Rental Units	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable and Available Rental Units	Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 Households
VLI Households	102,704	24,071	18%	37	0-30% of Area Median	-4,913	-72,117	51
LI Households	101,051	6,085	5%	26	0-50% of Area Median	69,710	-41,268	83
Not Low Income	179,944	1,192	1%	16				
Total	530,589	131,459	100%	28				

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2007 American Community Survey PUMS housing file.



**Alabama's 3rd District**

**Representative: Michael D. Rogers**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	% with Severe Burden	Share of Severely Burdened	Deficit/Surplus of Rental Units Affordable & Available to ELI Households	ELI	Extremely Low Income (income at or below 30% of area median)
ELI Households	23,801	12,177	51%	84%		VLI	Very Low Income (income between 31% and 50% of area median)
VLI Households	13,653	1,974	14%	14%		LI	Low Income (income between 51% and 80% of area median)
Total Renter Households	71,600	14,561	20%	100%		Not Low Income	Income greater than 80% of area median
						Severely Burdened	Household spending >50% of income on housing costs

Source: Special tabulation of Census 2000 (CHAS data)

**Rents and Incomes in Constituent Areas**

Fair Market Rent Area	Renter Hhlds (2000)	Two-BR Fair Market Rent	FMR Growth (2000-09)	Two-BR Housing Wage	Area Med. Income	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	Minimum Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage	Renter Wage	Hours at Renter Wage
Montgomery MSA	39,053	\$706	35.8%	\$13.58	\$57,700	\$17,310	\$433	\$6.55	83	\$10.68	51
Auburn-Opelika MSA	17,326	\$620	35.7%	\$11.92	\$59,900	\$17,970	\$449	\$6.55	73	\$6.17	77
Anniston-Oxford MSA	12,462	\$562	35.7%	\$10.81	\$51,000	\$15,300	\$383	\$6.55	66	\$8.92	48
Columbus MSA	7,413	\$640	34.2%	\$12.31	\$51,000	\$15,300	\$383	\$6.55	75	\$11.19	44
Talladega County	7,251	\$523	35.8%	\$10.06	\$48,000	\$14,400	\$360	\$6.55	61	\$9.78	41
Tallapoosa County	3,941	\$517	36.1%	\$9.94	\$48,800	\$14,640	\$366	\$6.55	61	\$7.54	53
Chambers County	3,530	\$514	41.6%	\$9.88	\$45,800	\$13,740	\$344	\$6.55	60	\$8.58	46
Macon County	2,928	\$530	35.9%	\$10.19	\$37,000	\$11,100	\$278	\$6.55	62	\$7.18	57

Source: Out of Reach 2009. This congressional district includes at least a small portion of the FMR areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography.

**State-Level Renter Statistics**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	Share of Severely Burdened	Median Housing Cost to Income Ratio	<b>There is a Real Deficit of Affordable and Available Rental Units</b>			
					Households by Income	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable Rental Units	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable and Available Rental Units	Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 Households
ELI Households	146,890	100,111	76%	71	0-30% of Area Median	-4,913	-72,117	51
VLI Households	102,704	24,071	18%	37	0-50% of Area Median	69,710	-41,268	83
LI Households	101,051	6,085	5%	26				
Not Low Income	179,944	1,192	1%	16				
Total	530,589	131,459	100%	28				

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2007 American Community Survey PUMS housing file.



**Alabama's 4th District**

**Representative: Robert Aderholt**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	% with Severe Burden	Share of Severely Burdened	Deficit/Surplus of Rental Units Affordable & Available to ELI Households	ELI	Extremely Low Income (income at or below 30% of area median)
ELI Households	14,554	5,369	37%	85%		VLI	Very Low Income (income between 31% and 50% of area median)
VLI Households	9,711	848	9%	13%		LI	Low Income (income between 51% and 80% of area median)
Total Renter Households	55,146	6,329	11%	100%		Not Low Income	Income greater than 80% of area median
						Severely Burdened	Household spending >50% of income on housing costs

Source: Special tabulation of Census 2000 (CHAS data)

**Rents and Incomes in Constituent Areas**

Fair Market Rent Area	Renter Hhlds (2000)	Two-BR Fair Market Rent	FMR Growth (2000-09)	Two-BR Housing Wage	Area Med. Income	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	Minimum Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage	Renter Wage	Hours at Renter Wage
Birmingham-Hoover HMFA	107,282	\$698	37.9%	\$13.42	\$60,900	\$18,270	\$457	\$6.55	82	\$13.69	39
Decatur MSA	14,013	\$579	36.2%	\$11.13	\$55,100	\$16,530	\$413	\$6.55	68	\$10.53	42
Gadsden MSA	10,658	\$570	35.4%	\$10.96	\$46,100	\$13,830	\$346	\$6.55	67	\$9.00	49
Marshall County	8,224	\$549	35.9%	\$10.56	\$48,800	\$14,640	\$366	\$6.55	64	\$8.06	52
Cullman County	6,735	\$538	35.9%	\$10.35	\$50,200	\$15,060	\$377	\$6.55	63	\$10.14	41
Walker County HMFA	5,671	\$566	45.9%	\$10.88	\$46,000	\$13,800	\$345	\$6.55	66	\$8.54	51
DeKalb County	5,342	\$514	36.3%	\$9.88	\$45,000	\$13,500	\$338	\$6.55	60	\$9.32	42
Franklin County	3,149	\$514	43.2%	\$9.88	\$43,300	\$12,990	\$325	\$6.55	60	\$7.68	51

Source: Out of Reach 2009. This congressional district includes at least a small portion of the FMR areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography.

**State-Level Renter Statistics**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	Share of Severely Burdened	Median Housing Cost to Income Ratio	There is a Real Deficit of Affordable and Available Rental Units			
ELI Households	146,890	100,111	76%	71	Households by Income	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable Rental Units	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable and Available Rental Units	Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 Households
VLI Households	102,704	24,071	18%	37	0-30% of Area Median	-4,913	-72,117	51
LI Households	101,051	6,085	5%	26	0-50% of Area Median	69,710	-41,268	83
Not Low Income	179,944	1,192	1%	16				
Total	530,589	131,459	100%	28				

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2007 American Community Survey PUMS housing file.



**Alabama's 5th District**

**Representative: Parker Griffith**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	% with Severe Burden	Share of Severely Burdened	Deficit/Surplus of Rental Units Affordable & Available to ELI Households	ELI	Extremely Low Income (income at or below 30% of area median)
ELI Households	18,312	8,178	45%	84%		VLI	Very Low Income (income between 31% and 50% of area median)
VLI Households	13,007	1,202	9%	12%		LI	Low Income (income between 51% and 80% of area median)
Total Renter Households	68,694	9,713	14%	100%		Not Low Income	Income greater than 80% of area median
						Severely Burdened	Household spending >50% of income on housing costs

Source: Special tabulation of Census 2000 (CHAS data)

**Rents and Incomes in Constituent Areas**

Fair Market Rent Area	Renter Hhlds (2000)	Two-BR Fair Market Rent	FMR Growth (2000-09)	Two-BR Housing Wage	Area Med. Income	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	Minimum Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage	Renter Wage	Hours at Renter Wage
Huntsville MSA	38,744	\$638	35.7%	\$12.27	\$67,500	\$20,250	\$506	\$6.55	75	\$12.22	40
Florence-Muscle Shoals MSA	15,111	\$574	35.7%	\$11.04	\$51,400	\$15,420	\$386	\$6.55	67	\$7.63	58
Decatur MSA	14,013	\$579	36.2%	\$11.13	\$55,100	\$16,530	\$413	\$6.55	68	\$10.53	42
Jackson County	4,770	\$514	43.2%	\$9.88	\$47,100	\$14,130	\$353	\$6.55	60	\$8.51	46

Source: Out of Reach 2009. This congressional district includes at least a small portion of the FMR areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography.

**State-Level Renter Statistics**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	Share of Severely Burdened	Median Housing Cost to Income Ratio	<b>There is a Real Deficit of Affordable and Available Rental Units</b>			
ELI Households	146,890	100,111	76%	71	Households by Income	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable Rental Units	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable and Available Rental Units	Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 Households
VLI Households	102,704	24,071	18%	37	0-30% of Area Median	-4,913	-72,117	51
LI Households	101,051	6,085	5%	26	0-50% of Area Median	69,710	-41,268	83
Not Low Income	179,944	1,192	1%	16				
Total	530,589	131,459	100%	28				

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2007 American Community Survey PUMS housing file.



**Alabama's 6th District**

**Representative: Spencer Bachus**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	% with Severe Burden	Share of Severely Burdened	Deficit/Surplus of Rental Units Affordable & Available to ELI Households	ELI	Extremely Low Income (income at or below 30% of area median)
ELI Households	9,525	4,792	50%	68%		VLI	Very Low Income (income between 31% and 50% of area median)
VLI Households	7,284	1,634	22%	23%		LI	Low Income (income between 51% and 80% of area median)
Total Renter Households	55,217	7,060	13%	100%		Not Low Income	Income greater than 80% of area median
						Severely Burdened	Household spending >50% of income on housing costs

Source: Special tabulation of Census 2000 (CHAS data)

**Rents and Incomes in Constituent Areas**

Fair Market Rent Area	Renter Hhlds (2000)	Two-BR Fair Market Rent	FMR Growth (2000-09)	Two-BR Housing Wage	Area Med. Income	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	Minimum Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage	Renter Wage	Hours at Renter Wage
Birmingham-Hoover HMFA	107,282	\$698	37.9%	\$13.42	\$60,900	\$18,270	\$457	\$6.55	82	\$13.69	39
Tuscaloosa MSA	25,787	\$694	36.1%	\$13.35	\$55,000	\$16,500	\$413	\$6.55	82	\$9.30	57
Chilton County HMFA	2,716	\$580	45.7%	\$11.15	\$50,600	\$15,180	\$380	\$6.55	68	\$7.84	57
Coosa County	711	\$514	36.7%	\$9.88	\$46,100	\$13,830	\$346	\$6.55	60	\$9.02	44

Source: Out of Reach 2009. This congressional district includes at least a small portion of the FMR areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography.

**State-Level Renter Statistics**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	Share of Severely Burdened	Median Housing Cost to Income Ratio	<b>There is a Real Deficit of Affordable and Available Rental Units</b>			
ELI Households	146,890	100,111	76%	71	Households by Income	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable Rental Units	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable and Available Rental Units	Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 Households
VLI Households	102,704	24,071	18%	37	0-30% of Area Median	-4,913	-72,117	51
LI Households	101,051	6,085	5%	26	0-50% of Area Median	69,710	-41,268	83
Not Low Income	179,944	1,192	1%	16				
Total	530,589	131,459	100%	28				

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2007 American Community Survey PUMS housing file.



**Alabama's 7th District**

**Representative: Artur Davis**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	% with Severe Burden	Share of Severely Burdened	Deficit/Surplus of Rental Units Affordable & Available to ELI Households	ELI	Extremely Low Income (income at or below 30% of area median)
ELI Households	33,433	15,816	47%	87%		VLI	Very Low Income (income between 31% and 50% of area median)
VLI Households	16,224	1,953	12%	11%		LI	Low Income (income between 51% and 80% of area median)
Total Renter Households	90,313	18,106	20%	100%		Not Low Income	Income greater than 80% of area median
						Severely Burdened	Household spending >50% of income on housing costs

Source: Special tabulation of Census 2000 (CHAS data)

**Rents and Incomes in Constituent Areas**

Fair Market Rent Area	Renter Hhlds (2000)	Two-BR Fair Market Rent	FMR Growth (2000-09)	Two-BR Housing Wage	Area Med. Income	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	Minimum Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage	Renter Wage	Hours at Renter Wage
Birmingham-Hoover HMFA	107,282	\$698	37.9%	\$13.42	\$60,900	\$18,270	\$457	\$6.55	82	\$13.69	39
Tuscaloosa MSA	25,787	\$694	36.1%	\$13.35	\$55,000	\$16,500	\$413	\$6.55	82	\$9.30	57
Dallas County	6,121	\$525	35.7%	\$10.10	\$38,000	\$11,400	\$285	\$6.55	62	\$7.50	54
Clarke County	1,994	\$514	36.0%	\$9.88	\$43,600	\$13,080	\$327	\$6.55	60	\$9.95	40
Marengo County	1,823	\$514	37.8%	\$9.88	\$45,300	\$13,590	\$340	\$6.55	60	\$6.76	58
Pickens County	1,682	\$514	49.4%	\$9.88	\$42,100	\$12,630	\$316	\$6.55	60	\$6.86	58
Sumter County	1,579	\$514	49.4%	\$9.88	\$29,600	\$8,880	\$222	\$6.55	60	\$7.22	55
Perry County	1,136	\$514	37.8%	\$9.88	\$33,400	\$10,020	\$251	\$6.55	60	\$6.97	57

Source: Out of Reach 2009. This congressional district includes at least a small portion of the FMR areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography.

**State-Level Renter Statistics**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households	Share of Severely Burdened	Median Housing Cost to Income Ratio	<b>There is a Real Deficit of Affordable and Available Rental Units</b>			
					Households by Income	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable Rental Units	Deficit/Surplus of Affordable and Available Rental Units	Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 Households
ELI Households	146,890	100,111	76%	71	0-30% of Area Median	-4,913	-72,117	51
VLI Households	102,704	24,071	18%	37	0-50% of Area Median	69,710	-41,268	83
LI Households	101,051	6,085	5%	26				
Not Low Income	179,944	1,192	1%	16				
Total	530,589	131,459	100%	28				

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2007 American Community Survey PUMS housing file.

