

**STATE SUMMARY TABLE**

	FY09 HOUSING WAGE		HOUSING COSTS			AREA MEDIAN INCOME (AMI)				RENTER HOUSEHOLDS				Full-time jobs at mean renter wage needed to afford 2 BR FMR
	Hourly wage necessary to afford 2 BR FMR	% change since 2000	Two-bedroom FMR <sup>1</sup>	Income needed to afford 2 BR FMR	Full-time jobs at minimum wage needed to afford 2 BR FMR	Annual AMI <sup>2</sup>	Rent affordable at AMI <sup>3</sup>	30% of AMI <sup>4</sup>	Rent affordable at 30% of AMI	Number (2000)	% of total households (2000)	Estimated mean renter hourly wage (2009) <sup>5</sup>	Rent affordable at mean wage	
<b>ALABAMA</b>	<b>\$12.05</b>	37%	\$627	\$25,060	1.8	\$53,669	\$1,342	\$16,101	\$403	478,394	28%	\$10.77	\$560	1.1
<b>ALASKA</b>	<b>\$19.47</b>	34%	\$1,013	\$40,504	2.7	\$76,032	\$1,901	\$22,810	\$570	83,097	37%	\$15.28	\$795	1.3
<b>ARIZONA</b>	<b>\$16.07</b>	30%	\$835	\$33,419	2.2	\$60,964	\$1,524	\$18,289	\$457	607,690	32%	\$13.96	\$726	1.2
<b>ARKANSAS</b>	<b>\$11.42</b>	34%	\$594	\$23,757	1.7	\$49,960	\$1,249	\$14,988	\$375	319,238	31%	\$11.14	\$579	1.0
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>	<b>\$24.83</b>	51%	\$1,291	\$51,654	3.1	\$72,595	\$1,815	\$21,779	\$544	4,956,633	43%	\$17.52	\$911	1.4
<b>COLORADO</b>	<b>\$16.48</b>	16%	\$857	\$34,277	2.3	\$72,062	\$1,802	\$21,619	\$540	541,933	33%	\$15.08	\$784	1.1
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>	<b>\$21.60</b>	44%	\$1,123	\$44,938	2.7	\$87,678	\$2,192	\$26,303	\$658	431,928	33%	\$17.58	\$914	1.2
<b>DELAWARE</b>	<b>\$17.75</b>	44%	\$923	\$36,917	2.5	\$70,885	\$1,772	\$21,266	\$532	82,690	28%	\$15.64	\$813	1.1
<b>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA</b>	<b>\$24.77</b>	51%	\$1,288	\$51,520	3.3	\$102,700	\$2,568	\$30,810	\$770	147,122	59%	\$25.41	\$1,321	1.0
<b>FLORIDA</b>	<b>\$19.60</b>	51%	\$1,019	\$40,776	2.7	\$59,287	\$1,482	\$17,786	\$445	1,896,218	30%	\$13.58	\$706	1.4
<b>GEORGIA</b>	<b>\$14.66</b>	25%	\$762	\$30,491	2.2	\$61,634	\$1,541	\$18,490	\$462	977,076	33%	\$14.08	\$732	1.0
<b>HAWAII</b>	<b>\$29.53</b>	84%	\$1,536	\$61,428	4.1	\$76,565	\$1,914	\$22,970	\$574	175,457	44%	\$13.03	\$678	2.3
<b>IDAHO</b>	<b>\$12.87</b>	29%	\$669	\$26,775	2.0	\$56,649	\$1,416	\$16,995	\$425	129,732	28%	\$10.36	\$539	1.2
<b>ILLINOIS</b>	<b>\$17.17</b>	33%	\$893	\$35,723	2.2	\$69,914	\$1,748	\$20,974	\$524	1,502,655	33%	\$15.33	\$797	1.1
<b>INDIANA</b>	<b>\$13.41</b>	29%	\$697	\$27,892	2.0	\$61,313	\$1,533	\$18,394	\$460	667,223	29%	\$11.90	\$619	1.1
<b>IOWA</b>	<b>\$12.10</b>	26%	\$629	\$25,162	1.7	\$62,405	\$1,560	\$18,722	\$468	317,849	28%	\$11.00	\$572	1.1
<b>KANSAS</b>	<b>\$12.55</b>	27%	\$653	\$26,112	1.9	\$60,685	\$1,517	\$18,206	\$455	319,018	31%	\$12.02	\$625	1.0
<b>KENTUCKY</b>	<b>\$12.01</b>	34%	\$624	\$24,974	1.8	\$53,550	\$1,339	\$16,065	\$402	465,349	29%	\$11.10	\$577	1.1
<b>LOUISIANA</b>	<b>\$15.07</b>	63%	\$784	\$31,349	2.3	\$53,681	\$1,342	\$16,104	\$403	531,058	32%	\$12.05	\$626	1.3
<b>MAINE</b>	<b>\$15.07</b>	41%	\$784	\$31,352	2.1	\$59,496	\$1,487	\$17,849	\$446	147,280	28%	\$10.62	\$552	1.4
<b>MARYLAND</b>	<b>\$21.27</b>	54%	\$1,106	\$44,232	3.2	\$87,935	\$2,198	\$26,380	\$660	627,639	32%	\$14.80	\$770	1.4
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>	<b>\$22.97</b>	46%	\$1,194	\$47,769	2.9	\$83,281	\$2,082	\$24,984	\$625	935,332	38%	\$18.43	\$958	1.2
<b>MICHIGAN</b>	<b>\$14.36</b>	28%	\$747	\$29,864	1.9	\$65,216	\$1,630	\$19,565	\$489	992,315	26%	\$12.88	\$670	1.1
<b>MINNESOTA</b>	<b>\$15.12</b>	25%	\$786	\$31,458	2.3	\$74,456	\$1,861	\$22,337	\$558	482,403	25%	\$12.76	\$664	1.2
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>	<b>\$12.62</b>	44%	\$656	\$26,248	1.9	\$46,844	\$1,171	\$14,053	\$351	289,283	28%	\$10.07	\$523	1.3
<b>MISSOURI</b>	<b>\$12.89</b>	32%	\$670	\$26,809	1.8	\$59,990	\$1,500	\$17,997	\$450	652,284	30%	\$12.41	\$645	1.0
<b>MONTANA</b>	<b>\$12.33</b>	33%	\$641	\$25,649	1.8	\$55,758	\$1,394	\$16,727	\$418	110,967	31%	\$9.71	\$505	1.3
<b>NEBRASKA</b>	<b>\$12.61</b>	28%	\$656	\$26,231	1.9	\$62,108	\$1,553	\$18,632	\$466	216,878	33%	\$11.25	\$585	1.1
<b>NEVADA</b>	<b>\$18.96</b>	38%	\$986	\$39,438	2.8	\$66,032	\$1,651	\$19,810	\$495	293,920	39%	\$14.67	\$763	1.3
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>	<b>\$19.51</b>	47%	\$1,015	\$40,583	2.7	\$77,533	\$1,938	\$23,260	\$581	143,823	30%	\$14.35	\$746	1.4
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>	<b>\$23.12</b>	48%	\$1,202	\$48,087	3.2	\$84,855	\$2,121	\$25,457	\$636	1,053,347	34%	\$17.26	\$897	1.3
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>	<b>\$12.90</b>	25%	\$671	\$26,839	1.7	\$52,939	\$1,323	\$15,882	\$397	203,536	30%	\$11.51	\$598	1.1
<b>NEW YORK</b>	<b>\$23.21</b>	54%	\$1,207	\$48,282	3.2	\$70,477	\$1,762	\$21,143	\$529	3,317,613	47%	\$23.01	\$1,196	1.0
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>\$13.33</b>	27%	\$693	\$27,736	2.0	\$57,555	\$1,439	\$17,266	\$432	959,743	31%	\$12.62	\$656	1.1
<b>NORTH DAKOTA</b>	<b>\$10.88</b>	30%	\$566	\$22,631	1.7	\$60,230	\$1,506	\$18,069	\$452	85,842	33%	\$10.39	\$540	1.0
<b>OHIO</b>	<b>\$13.14</b>	27%	\$683	\$27,326	1.8	\$62,073	\$1,552	\$18,622	\$466	1,373,259	31%	\$12.24	\$637	1.1
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>	<b>\$12.41</b>	41%	\$646	\$25,821	1.9	\$52,877	\$1,322	\$15,863	\$397	424,152	32%	\$11.65	\$606	1.1
<b>OREGON</b>	<b>\$14.54</b>	24%	\$756	\$30,235	1.7	\$61,960	\$1,549	\$18,588	\$465	476,833	36%	\$13.08	\$680	1.1
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>	<b>\$15.37</b>	40%	\$799	\$31,969	2.1	\$66,000	\$1,650	\$19,800	\$495	1,370,836	29%	\$13.40	\$697	1.1
<b>PUERTO RICO</b>	<b>\$9.28</b>	44%	\$483	\$19,304	1.4	\$22,946	\$574	\$6,884	\$172	341,614	27%	\$7.33	\$381	1.3
<b>RHODE ISLAND</b>	<b>\$18.76</b>	64%	\$976	\$39,021	2.5	\$73,339	\$1,833	\$22,002	\$550	163,274	40%	\$12.01	\$624	1.6
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>\$12.98</b>	32%	\$675	\$26,992	2.0	\$55,240	\$1,381	\$16,572	\$414	426,235	28%	\$11.07	\$576	1.2

1: FMR = Fiscal Year 2009 Fair Market Rent (HUD, 2008; final as of October 1). 2: AMI = Fiscal Year 2009 Area Median Income (HUD, 2009).  
3: "Affordable" rents represent the generally accepted standard of spending not more than 30% of gross income on gross housing costs.  
4: The federal standard for extremely low income households. Does not include HUD-specific adjustments. 5: Based on 2007 BLS data, adjusted using the ratio of renter to overall household income reported in Census 2000 and projected to April 1, 2009.

## STATE SUMMARY TABLE

	FY09 HOUSING WAGE		HOUSING COSTS			AREA MEDIAN INCOME (AMI)				RENTER HOUSEHOLDS				
	Hourly wage necessary to afford 2 BR FMR	% change since 2000	Two- bedroom FMR <sup>1</sup>	Income needed to afford 2 BR FMR	Full-time jobs at minimum wage needed to afford 2 BR FMR	Annual AMI <sup>2</sup>	Rent affordable <sup>3</sup> at AMI	30% of AMI <sup>4</sup>	Rent affordable at 30% of AMI	Number (2000)	% of total households (2000)	Estimated mean renter hourly wage (2009) <sup>5</sup>	Rent affordable at mean wage	Full-time jobs at mean renter wage needed to afford 2 BR FMR
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA</b>	<b>\$11.54</b>	29%	\$600	\$23,999	1.8	\$56,773	\$1,419	\$17,032	\$426	92,338	32%	\$9.71	\$505	1.2
<b>TENNESSEE</b>	<b>\$12.84</b>	31%	\$668	\$26,717	2.0	\$54,881	\$1,372	\$16,464	\$412	671,444	30%	\$12.43	\$647	1.0
<b>TEXAS</b>	<b>\$15.38</b>	31%	\$800	\$31,987	2.3	\$58,520	\$1,463	\$17,556	\$439	2,676,060	36%	\$15.79	\$821	1.0
<b>UTAH</b>	<b>\$14.16</b>	24%	\$736	\$29,459	2.2	\$64,548	\$1,614	\$19,364	\$484	199,622	28%	\$11.75	\$611	1.2
<b>VERMONT</b>	<b>\$17.57</b>	53%	\$914	\$36,553	2.2	\$65,605	\$1,640	\$19,682	\$492	70,857	29%	\$11.31	\$588	1.6
<b>VIRGINIA</b>	<b>\$18.20</b>	47%	\$946	\$37,850	2.8	\$74,969	\$1,874	\$22,491	\$562	861,215	32%	\$15.43	\$802	1.2
<b>WASHINGTON</b>	<b>\$16.81</b>	26%	\$874	\$34,964	2.0	\$71,582	\$1,790	\$21,475	\$537	804,413	35%	\$14.71	\$765	1.1
<b>WEST VIRGINIA</b>	<b>\$11.36</b>	40%	\$591	\$23,631	1.6	\$48,573	\$1,214	\$14,572	\$364	182,855	25%	\$9.54	\$496	1.2
<b>WISCONSIN</b>	<b>\$14.31</b>	31%	\$744	\$29,761	2.2	\$66,992	\$1,675	\$20,097	\$502	657,884	32%	\$12.11	\$629	1.2
<b>WYOMING</b>	<b>\$13.11</b>	48%	\$682	\$27,277	2.0	\$64,394	\$1,610	\$19,318	\$483	58,120	30%	\$12.58	\$654	1.0

1: FMR = Fiscal Year 2009 Fair Market Rent (HUD, 2008; final as of October 1). 2: AMI = Fiscal Year 2009 Area Median Income (HUD, 2009).

3: "Affordable" rents represent the generally accepted standard of spending not more than 30% of gross income on gross housing costs.

4: The federal standard for extremely low income households. Does not include HUD-specific adjustments. 5: Based on 2007 BLS data, adjusted using the ratio of renter to overall household income reported in Census 2000 and projected to April 1, 2009.